# INDEX.


ABILITY, distinction between, and liberty, criticised, 23; distinction between natural and moral, criticised, 25; Adam was endued with sufficient, 86; man as fallen and unregenerate, destitute of all spiritual, 135, 154–158, 402.

ABSOLUTE COMMENCEMENT, volition not an instance of, 93; Adam's first sinful volition not an instance of, 95.

ADAM, case of, controlling, 56; was first sin of, necessitated? 57; hypotheses as to relation of God's decree to first sin of, 57; first, God decreed efficiently to produce it, 57; secondly, God decreed efficaciously to procure its commission, 63; thirdly, God decreed so to dispose case of, as to render his first sin necessary, 64; fourthly, God abstained from all decree as to first sin of, 68; fifthly, God decreed to permit first sin of, 75; at creation, was made upright, 79; in innocence, had the power of contrary choice, 80, 133, 134; no evil principle in, at creation, 80; the facts as to, considered as a probationer, 82; in innocence, was a non-elect probationer, 83; covenant of works inconsistent with necessitation of first sin of, 85; endued with sufficient, but not determining, grace, 86; sinned by a self-determination of the will, 90, 402; liberty of deliberate election between sin and holiness lost by, 135, 154–158, 402; God knew that the sin of, was avoidable, but would not be avoided, 316.

ANTECEDENCE AND SEQUENCE, inadequate account of cause, 337.

ANTE-MUNDANE EXISTENCE, hypothesis of, briefly discussed, 52.

AQUINAS, view of, as to the intuitive character of God's knowledge, 370.

ARISTOTLE, doctrine of, that man at first possessed free choice, commended by Calvin, 149; assertion of, that propositions in regard to the contingent future are neither true nor false, 321.







ATTRIBUTES OF GOD, are distinguishable, 329; analogy between our powers and the, 335.

AUGUSTIN, doctrine of, as to ability and liberty, 25; cited by Calvin as to instability of free will, 139; views of, as to freedom of the will, cited by Pighius and explained by Calvin, 143, 149, 150, 152, 158; held the Sublapsarian view, 197, 198; quoted with approval by Calvin as to God's permission of the first sin, 229; made God's foreknowledge wider than his efficient decree, 268; held that the Stoic Fate was not necessity as to acts of the human will, 308; views of, as to the intuitive character of God's knowledge, quoted, 367.

BACON, LORD, view of, as to the intuitive character of God's knowledge, 371.

BASIL, view of, as to freedom of the will, cited by Pighius and explained by Calvin, 148.

BEZA, cited as distinguishing between efficient and permissive decrees, 190.

BLEDSOE, DR. A. T., doctrine of, that God abstained from all decree as to the first sin, discussed, 68.

BLIND IMPULSES, inducements to commission of the first sin, 88, 110; relation of the will to, 89; as motives, 107.

BRINE, JOHN, distinguished between efficient and permissive decrees, 189.

BUTLER, BP., theory of, as to the genesis of the first sin, 88, 110.

CALVIN, as to the permission of the first sin, 77; copious quotations from, demanded by the nature of the argument, 124; necessity affirmed by, of sinning by unregenerate men, 127; cited as affirming distinction between the necessitated sinning of fallen unregenerate men and the unnecessitated sin of Adam, 138; affirmed that Adam's sin did not originate from imperfection in his natural constitution, 144; in this, opposed to Edwards, 145; affirmed that Adam in innocence had the power of contrary choice, or a self-determining power of the will, 146–151; distinguished between spontaneity and free will, 147, 152, 153; affirmed that spontaneous voluntary action may consist with necessity, 153; cited as showing what sort of freedom was lost by the Fall, 154–158; cited as maintaining the distinction between original and penal inability, 158; proved not to have been a Determinist, 159, 161; held liberty of contrary choice now as to

things external and civil, 160; view of, as to relation of the understanding to the will, discussed, 161–163; contradiction of, to Edwards in the stating of the question as to the freedom of the will, 163; agreement with, is disagreement with Edwards, and *vice versa*, 165; place of, in Calvinism, 194; proofs that he was a Sublapsarian, 194–202; reasons for proving him a Sublapsarian, 205; position of, as to relation of God's decree to the first sin, discussed at length, 208, ff.; did not draw the distinction between an act as effected by man and its quality as infused by man, 216; apparent denial of distinction between effectuation and permission examined, 217, 225; made man the efficient of the matter of sin, 220; his *Instructio adversus Libertinos*, 220; his *De Æterna Dei Prædestinatione*, 222; doctrine of, as to relation of God's efficiency to the sins of the wicked, considered, 223; his apparent teaching of the necessitation of the first sin, 231; view of, as to the intuitive character of God's knowledge, 370.

CALVINISTIC THEOLOGY, THE, Edwards's Necessitarianism not vital to, 14, 18; declines the support of Philosophical Necessity, 178; the norm of, 193; Necessitarian doctrine that all causes are necessary, inconsistent with, 303.

CAUSE, universal operation of, admitted, 99, 182; Determinism wrong as to chief seat of, in man, 113; Determinism confounds efficient and final, 113; a deficient, of the first sin, discussed, 247; God as the First, 259; Necessitarian position that all, is necessary, none contingent, refuted, 294; God is a free, 304.

CERTAINTY, theory of, and that of Moral Necessity, substantially one, 48, 50, 127; great fault of, as a theory, 50.

CONATIVE FACULTIES, as a class, criticised, 32.

CONTINGENCY, term explained, 45, 182; of some causes proved, 294; distinction between, as related to knowledge and to cause, 314.

CONTRARY CHOICE, liberty of, in Adam, but not in unregenerate sinners, as to holiness and sin, 124, 129, 130, 146–151; still possessed as to things external and civil, and in some degree as to things merely moral, 136, 160.

COVENANT OF WORKS, inconsistent with necessitation of the first sin, 85.

CUNNINGHAM, PRIN. WM., criticised as to his view of natural



ability, 128; statement of, as to the will in man's fourfold estate inconsistent with Determinism, 134; as to the small number of Supralapsarians, 190; cited as to the unhappy influence of Beza upon Arminius, 195; opinion of, that the Westminster Confession is neutral as to Supralapsarianism, 204; exclamation of, as to the value of the seventh chapter of Romans, 443.

DAVENANT, BP., as to Calvin's doctrine concerning relation of God's decree to the first sin, 214.

DECREE, of God in relation to the first sin, 57–75; distinction between efficient and permissive, vindicated, 184, 298; Calvin's doctrine as to relation of, to the first sin, 208, ff.; permissive, does not *make certain* future events, 350; permissive, made it certain that Adam *would* sin, not that he *should* sin, 352.

DEFICIENT CAUSE, hypothesis of a, for the first sin discussed, 247.

DESIRE, to which mental faculty primarily assignable, 34.

DETERMINISM, the common doctrine of, as here discussed, 47, 49; school of, leave out of account distinction between elect and non-elect probationers, 82; arguments for, discussed, 92; fails to ground reponsibility for intellectual opinions, 101; gives an incomplete account of the origination of motives, 105; wrong as to the chief seat of efficient causality in man, 113; neglects distinction between the spontaneous and reflective processes of the understanding, 115; invariableness of the law of, disproved, 120; self-contradictoriness of, 122; as an invariable law protested against, 178.

DEVIL, THE, the tempter to the first sin, 111.

DORT, THE SYNOD OF, doctrine of, as to man's condition before the Fall, 168; cited in favor of Sublapsarianism, 202.

EDWARDS, PRESIDENT, Necessitarianism of, not the norm of recent Calvinistic thought, 14; results of the publication of his Treatise on the Will, 18; defects of that treatise, 19; arguments of school of, against a self-determining power of the will discussed, 92–120; self-contradictoriness of, pointed out, 121; as to God's relation to the first sin, 144; difference between views of, and Calvin's, touching the first sin, 145; radical difference between his statement of the question concerning the freedom of the will and Calvin's, 163; position

of, that certain foreknowledge infers some necessity, considered, 309.
ENTIRE SANCTIFICATION, not attainable in the present life, 422–427.
EVIL, Calvin's doctrine that God is the author of that of punishment, not of that of guilt, 139.
FACULTIES, MENTAL, distribution of, discussed, 31; the distribution here proposed, 39.
FEDERAL REPRESENTATION, the scriptural explanation of man's fall into sin, 55.
FOREKNOWLEDGE OF GOD, wider than his efficient decree, 268; argument that there could be no, without predetermination, discussed, 268; argument from infallible connection between, and future events in favor of the predetermination of sin, considered, 308; what sort of necessity inferred by, 308; the, that Adam's sin was *avoidable*, but *would not be avoided*, 316.
FORMULA CONSENSUS HELVETICA, testimony of, as to man's condition in innocence, 170; cited in favor of Sublapsarianism, 203.
FOREORDINATION, not all, is predetermination, 299.
FUNDAMENTAL LAWS, at the root of every mental faculty, 30.
GALLIC CONFESSION, doctrine of, touching the will, 166.
GENERAL CONCLUSION, 484.
GILL, DR., distinguished between efficient and permissive decrees, 189.
GLORIFIED ESTATE, THE, elements of, 479.
GRACE, distinction between Sufficient and Determining, emphasized, 454.
GUILT, theory of Edwards fails to ground the sense of, 46.
HAMILTON, SIR W., his distribution of the mental faculties discussed, 31; appealed to consciousness for proof of freedom of the will, 91.
HILARY, views of, touching freedom of the will, as explained by Calvin, 143.
HILL, PRIN. G., views of, as to relation of God's knowledge to future events, criticised, 357.
HODGE, DR. C., his misconception of Julius Müller's distinction between Formal and Real Freedom, 23; his distinction between liberty of the will and liberty of the agent criticised,



29; opinion of, that the Westminster Standards clearly imply Sublapsarianism, 204; doctrine of, as to permitted events, 298; held that God is a free cause, 306; view of, as to the intuitive, character of God's knowledge, 371; view of, as to man's ability in the merely natural sphere, 409.
HODGE, DR. A. A., ingenious attempt of, to show that the decree to permit sin *made* its futurition certain, rebutted, 352.
HOWE, JOHN, views of, as to relation of God's knowledge to future contingent events, noticed, 359.
HUME, doctrine of, as to cause, 338.
IDENTITY, of God's knowledge and power, considered, 327.
IMPOSSIBILITY, distinction between, as intrinsic to nature of cause and as relative to God's knowledge, 315; in what sense predicable of God, 320; in what sense predicable of future events, 374.
IRENÆUS, views of, as to freedom of the will, with Calvin's comments, 142, 147, 148.
JACKSON, his Defence of Human Liberty quoted as to Necessitarianism and Stoicism, 307.
JOUFFROY, peculiar hypothesis of, as to God's foreknowledge of future contingent events, 345.
JUNIUS, FRANCIS, view of, that all foreordination is not predetermination, 299.
JUSTICE, distinction between Rectoral and Fatherly, 471.
JUSTIFICATION, distinction between, as perfect and Sanctification as imperfect, 471.
KANT, his distribution of the mental faculties criticised, 31; sought in a transcendental sphere for freedom of the will, 91.
KNOWLEDGE, inferential, 275; intuitive, 278; contingency as related to, not to be confounded with contingency as related to cause, 314; ground of certitude of, 338.
KNOWLEDGE OF GOD, argument that there could be no, of the first sin unless it had been made certain by efficient decree, discussed, 268; argument from the intuitive nature of the, as grounded in his eternal purposes, in favor of the necessitation of future events, discussed, 272; nature of the, as to future events, 274; the, not inferential, 275; Necessitarians abusively employ the term *intuitive* with reference to the, 282, 375; ground of the, as to future events, 290; Necessitarians reason in a circle as to the ground of the, 290; various hypoth-

eses as to relation of the, to future events, considered, 319–400; Socinian hypothesis, 320; Hypothesis that the, is willed by him not to terminate on future contingent events, 325; Hypothesis that the, and his power are identical, 327; Hypothesis of Ideal Representations, 342; Hypothesis of *Scientia Media*, 348; Hypothesis that permission necessitates future events, 350; all these hypotheses as to the, reducible to unity upon principle of representative knowledge, 355; three general suppositions possible as to the, as related to future events, 356; mysteriousness of mode of the, as related to future events, 356; Principal Hill's views as to the, criticised, 357; Hypothesis that the, is an Infinite Intuition, submitted and defended, 362; guards upon this hypothesis as to the, 364; this hypothesis as to the, not identical with Dr. Henry More's in his Divine Dialogues, 365; the, illimitable and all-comprehending, 373; the, unchangeable, 375; objections to unchangeableness of the, answered, 382; the, free from time-limits, 387; removal of difficulties attending this view of the, 393.

LAW OF GOD, THE, unrelaxed as the standard of sanctification, 423; guards upon this position as to, 425; not a source of sanctification, 426.

LIBERTY, term explained, 45; of Contrary Choice explained, 46; of Indifference explained and rejected, 46; of Equilibrium explained and rejected, 46; Müller's Christian Doctrine of Sin referred to in explanation of Liberty of Indifference and of Equilibrium, 132; distinction between the, of spontaneity and of deliberate election, 133, 401, 448.

MERIT, doctrine of, in man's several earthly estates discussed, 467; in the estate of innocence, 467; in the estate of unregeneracy, 468; in the estate of regeneracy, 469, ff.

MILLS, THE, doctrine of, as to cause, 338.

MORAL NECESSITY, theory of, and that of Certainty substantially one, 48, 50.

MORE, DR. HENRY, view of, as to God's knowledge of future contingent events, 365.

MOTIVES, Determinist's doctrine as to, incomplete, 105; to the first sinful act not originated by the understanding, 110; to the first sinful act originated in the Blind Impulses, 110; Determinists confound directing, with determining, power of, 114.



MÜLLER, JULIUS, as to Ante-mundane existence, 91; cited as to the will, 117.

NATURE, distinction between, as created and as corrupt, 140.

NATURES, two, co-exist in the believer's soul, 429; this position guarded against misunderstanding, 432.

NECESSITARIANISM, of Edwards not the norm of recent Calvinistic thought, 14; doctrine of, that all causes are necessary, none contingent, examined, 294; doctrine of, as to the necessary causality of God criticised, 304; goes beyond the, of some of the Stoics, 307; overlooks distinction between contingency as related to knowledge and to cause, 314; overlooks distinction between impossibility as intrinsic to cause and as relative to knowledge, 315; recapitulation of arguments against, 317; view of, as to God's knowledge criticised, 375.

NECESSITY, term explained, 45; nominally rejected but really held by certain writers, 48; theory of Moral, and of Certainty the same, 48, 50, 127; affirmed by Augustin and Calvin of the sinning of the unregenerate, 127; what kind of, inferred by foreknowledge, 309; logical and causal, not to be confounded, 312.

ORIGEN, views of, touching freedom of the will as explained by Calvin, 142.

OWEN, DR. JOHN, remarkable passage from, as to the self-determining power of man's will (or natural ability) now in the merely natural sphere, 406.

PANTHEISM, doctrine of, as to identity of God's knowledge and power, 327.

PAUL, saying of, "It is no more I that sin," explained, 440.

PERKINS, WM., distinguished between efficient and permissive decrees, 187.

PIGHIUS, assertion of, that Calvin made the apostasy of man the work of God, denied by Calvin, 141.

PRIESTLEY, quoted as to ground of omniscience, 325.

PROBATIONERS, distinction between elect, and non-elect, 82; formula expressing the condition of non-elect, 83.

PROPHECY, argument from, to prove the necessitation of future events, disproved, 269; Calvin cited against that argument from, 272.

REFORMERS, THE, doctrine of, as to ability and liberty, 25.
REGENERATE ESTATE, THE, the will in, 410.
RESPONSIBILITY FOR OPINIONS, Determinism fails to account for, 101.
REWARDS, FINAL, of believers considered, 463; as illustrated by the Parables of the Pounds and the Talents, 463; scriptural proofs of, for fidelity, 472.

SCHELLING, as to ante-mundane existence, 91.
SCHLEIERMACHER, as to ante-mundane existence, 91; as to identity of God's knowledge and power, 335.
SCOTCH CONFESSION, THE, doctrine of, concerning man's condition in innocence, and his will since the Fall, 167.
SECOND HELVETIC CONFESSION, THE, testimony of, as to man's condition before and after the Fall, 167.
SIN, theory of Privative Character of, considered, 60; relation of decree to the first, 57–78; theory that the first, could not have been prevented, discussed, 68; effect of the first, 126; hypothesis of necessitation of the first, discussed, 233; hypothesis of a deficient cause of the first, discussed, 247; argument that God must have made the first, certain in order to foreknow it, discussed, 268; God knew that the first, was avoidable, but would not be avoided, 316; presence and operation of, in the believer's soul, 427; senses in which the believer is chargeable with, 435; the absence of the *reigning* power of, the peculiar characteristic of the believer, 437; this position as to, in the believer guarded against misconception, 437; Paul's denial that he was guilty of, explained, 440.
SPIRITUAL CONFLICT, THE, considered in relation to the will, 418; described in seventh of Romans proved to refer to the regenerate man, 418; contents of this position as to, expanded, 421; proves that the two principles of holiness and sin co-exist in the believer, 422; proves two natures to co-exist in the believer, 429; position as to, guarded against misunderstanding, 432; not between motives only, 433.
SPIRITUAL DEATH, as characterizing unregenerate men, 125.
SUBLAPSARIANS, make Adam's self-decision for sin determine the condition of the race, 91; Calvin belonged to them, 194; peculiar position of, stated, 196; doctrine of, as to relation of God's agency to the first sin, discussed, 239.



SUPRALAPSARIANS, all, affirm the distinction between efficient and permissive decrees, 185; small number of, 190; their order of the divine decrees, 192; peculiar position of, 196.

TERMS, explanation of those used in this discussion, 30.
TERTULLIAN, views of, touching freedom of the will, as commented on by Calvin, 142, 148.
THORNWELL, DR. J. H., his modification of his early Necessitarian views, Preface; just distinction of, between self-expression and self-determination, 50; as to the Privative Character of sin, 61; judgment of, that the theory of Edwards breaks down, 178; on the distinction between efficient and permissive decrees, 297; held that God is a free cause, 306; views of, as to the intuitive character of God's knowledge, 371; referred to as maintaining the co-existence of two natures in the believer's soul, 430; doctrine of, as to Merit, referred to, 470.
TURRETTIN, question whether Calvin held the *lubentia rationalis* view of, 161; proof by, that Calvin was a Sublapsarian, 196.
TWISSE, DR., doctrine of, as to relation of decree to the first sin, 63; cited as distinguishing between efficient and permissive decrees, 185; argument of, to prove Calvin a Supralapsarian criticised, 200.
TYLER, DR. SAMUEL, his criticism of Hamilton's theory of the causal judgment, 93.

UNDERSTANDING, THE, does not always control the will, 103; does not always regulate motives, 107; did not originate the motives to the first sinful act, 110; distinction between the spontaneous and the reflective processes of, 115.

WESTMINSTER STANDARDS, THE, doctrine of, in regard to the will of man before the Fall, 171; are implicitly Sublapsarian, not neutral on the question between Supralapsarians and Sublapsarians, 204; affirm the existence of contingent causes, 296.
WILL, THE, reasons for writing on the question of, Preface; question of the freedom of, partly philosophical, partly theological, 20; relations between these two methods of inquiry, 21; distinction between freedom of, and free-agency of the man, criticised, 27; the real question of the freedom of, 29; analysis of, 30; relation of, to the other mental powers, 39;

no standards furnished by, 40; appropriative office of, 41; not directive, 41; spontaneous *habitus* of, 42; chosen action the end contemplated by, 42; two kinds of choice of, 42; distinction between elections of, as sinless and as sinful, 43; elements contained in, 43; definition of, 44; Edwards's theory of, fails to ground the sense of guilt, and to remove from God the charge of being the author of sin, 46; of Adam in innocence brought by a specific test into immediate relation to God's will, 87; the Blind Impulses as moving the, to the commission of the first sin, 88; relation of, to the Blind Impulses, 89; Adam sinned by a self-determination of, 90; arguments against a self-determining power of, discussed, 92–120, 401; generic activity of, as related to specific determinations of, 98, 114; not always controlled by the understanding, 103; distinction between spontaneity of, and deliberate election of, 133, 401, 448; mutability of, 402; what freedom of, was lost by the Fall, 135, 154–158; of man in his unregenerate estate has no self-determining power as to holiness and sin, of man in innocence was a contingent cause, 301; summation of results as to, in man's estates of innocence and unregeneracy, 401; what self-determining power of, exists now in the merely natural sphere, 404; in man's Regenerate Estate, 410; effect of Regeneration upon, 412; effect of Justification and Adoption upon, 415; effect of Sanctification upon, 417; effect of the Spiritual Conflict upon, 418; divided against itself, 433; in one aspect immutably holy, in another immutably sinful, 434; spontaneity of, in the believer both as renewed and unrenewed is fixed and determined, 444; relation of God's efficiency to, of the believer, 446; spontaneous freedom of, consistent with determination, 449; some power of free deliberate election between opposing alternatives in the, of the believer, 450; some of the same power in, of the believer as to the use of the Means of Grace, 451; this view of, of the believer guarded against misapprehension, 453; relation to, of Sufficient and Determining grace, 454; God sometimes leaves believers to the undetermined elections of, as renewed, 455; proofs of this position as to, 455–465; objection to this view of, of the believer that it favors Merit considered at length, 465; condition of, in man's Glorified Estate, 479; sin perfectly removed from, 479; complete destruction



of mutability of, 479; growth of holy habits of, 480; full infusion of determining grace into, 481; exclusion of temptation from, 482; effect upon, of the experience of death, the resurrection, the Judgment and eternal realities, 483; effect upon, of the actual presence of heavenly things, 483.
WITHERSPOON, DR. JOHN, striking statement of, as to the identity of Moral and Physical Necessity, 177.